Previous references.

File No. 105 of

190 /.

Serial Nos. 1 - 16

(Courts)

Later references.

Cls 296-07 to 12.

Cls 296-16.

H 59-5

(H 59-5)

(H 59-5) (H 268-18 (1-5))

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Ho 109-27 (1-19)

" 17-151-17

Cls 213-28 1-3.

Cls-114-30 1-3

" 130-31-1

" 59-32-1 to 2 *Serial Nos.* 1 16

" 4-33-1 to 6.

" 196-33-1 to 6

" 183-35-1 to 6. **Part B. for** March 08

SUBJECT.

Extradition of Abdul Karim convicted of an offence under the Nizam's Railway Regn.

Table of Contents

From the District Magistrate, Nizamabad No 2717 D/ 9.11.06

Requests the issue of an extradition warrant for the arrest of Abdul Karim, convicted of an offence under Sec 108 clause (b) of Nizam's Railway Regulation and sentenced to 2 years' rigorous imprisonment and who is now reported to have gone to Hyd.

The 1st A Resident No Pol 3972/16.11.06

Regrets him to move the H.H. the Nizam's Govt. to arrest and extradite the accused.

From the Same No 6703 D/ 22-11-06

Requests that the original papers referred to in the above G. R. S. 58 may be forwarded.

To the same No Pol 4448 D/ 3-12-06

the original papers relating

From
The same. No 278 Dt 18.1.07

forwards for necessary action copy of letter No 43 Dt 11.8.06 received from the H.A. Resident, Hyderabad calling for the descriptive roll of the convict Abdul Karim.

To The Dt. Magte. Lingsugur No J 208 Dt 19.1.07

Requests him to submit a descriptive roll of the convict

From
The Same No S 21 Dt 22.1.07

States that the descriptive roll may be forwarded separately as the papers submitted with his letter and that he has ordered the Asst Supdt of Police, Rockets to send a copy of this descriptive roll of convict by return of post.

From the Asst. Supdt. of Police Rockets

No 633 Dt 27.1.07

forwards a copy of this docket No 632 with its enclosures to the Dt. Magte. of Lingsugur and

To the H.A. Resident No Pol 66 Dt 30.1.07

forwards a copy of the descriptive roll

From
The Same No 3360 Dt 26.6.07

States that the offences for which the [illegible] of the accused is demanded is not extraditable under the Extradition Treaty of 1867 between the Hyderabad State and the Govt. of India. Yet H.H. the Nizam's Govt. are prepared to surrender provided the Mysore Durbar are willing to grant reciprocity in similar cases and requests the information of the views of Durbar.

To The Same No Pol 158 Dt 16.8.07

States that the Durbar agrees to an arrangement by which persons charged with offences, which are extraditable under the laws in force in India or punishable with imprisonment of either description for two years are to be surrendered by either State relating to Railways, Post offices and telegraphs, will be surrendered by one Govt. on the requisition of the other and requests that if this view be acceptable, the convict Abdul Karim may be expedited

See last page.

G.R.S. 11] 1906.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(III Courts.)

NOTES.

Cls File. No. 105—06

FILE HEADING.

Extradition of Abdul Kareem convicted of an offence under the Mysore Railway Regulation

From

The District Magistrate, Mysore.

No. 271 Dated 9/11.10.06 Received 15.10.06

Diary No. J 911	Encls. 1 filed Statement	Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests the issue of an Extradition warrant for the arrest of Abdul Kareem convicted of an offence under Section 108 cl (b) of the Mysore Railway Regulation & sentenced to 3 years rigorous imprisonment and who is now reported to have gone to Hyderabad.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1 Submit with a draft to the Residency. The offence is SW 19/10/06 not extraditable.

2 The case does not fall under the scope of the Extradition Act as the accused is in Hyderabad

Draft to Resy. Submd

Secy) 22/10

NOTES—First sheet.

GPB—30,000—20-3-06.

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Cts File No. 105 of 1906

Serial No.

3 Are there not other cases in which the ~~Hyder~~ Nizam's Govt have complied with our requisitions for extradition? E.g. the Bangalore kidnapping cases; the case of the disciple of some Sivachar who murdered in child-hood; etc etc. There must also be some correspondence relating to reciprocal ~~arrangements~~ undertakings for surrender in important cases. Please put up these files

27-10-06

27.10.0

27.10.0

27.10.06

Subd with the undermentioned references.

(1) Surrender of Krishnamurti walad Venkatrao & Potama, accused of murder of kidnapping

(2) Surrender of Francis. (Flag W.)

(3) Cts file 235 of 05, sl 1-6, Extradition of Sivasanappa

(4) G.O. No. 4066-75 Flag P J 350 of 17.9.02 (Contained in just file) HB of 19.9.02-03 re the conveyance & maintenance charges of accused persons surrendered to & from the Hyderabad State)

31.10.06 2/11/06

5 The references put up do not show what mutual arrangements exist between the Nizam's Govt & the Mysore Govt. regarding the extradition of offenders. The difficulty that may be raised is that the offence is not an extraditable one under

B These files were returned as not dealt with previously.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

MGCPB—50,000—24-7-05

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 105 of 1906.

Serial No.

the law is free in British India, but this need not necessarily preclude the Nizam's Govt. from surrendering the man. We may on the other hand urge that an attempt to breed a hair ~~may~~ ~~commander the def~~ is an attempt to commit mischief, or even to commit culpable homicide, which are both extraditable offences.

6 But need we go into the question unless difficulties are raised?

Secy) 2.11.06

Not necessary. The Nizam's Govt. have often complied with our requisitions for surrender. The despatch to be issued, after submission to the C in Council for approval.

Approved 5/11/06

1-11-06

5/11

9 Issued 14/11/06

10 Issued 14/11

2. To The H. A. Resident, Bangalore.

Pol. 397 dated 16.11.06.

Requests him to move the H.H. the Nizam's Govt. to arrest & surrender the said accused

1353
5.11.1906

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

	Serial No.	
		Abdul Kareem to this State.
J 1248	3	From the F.A. Resident. No 6703 of 22.11.06. Requests that the original papers referred to in Pol No 397 of 16.11.06 re Extradition of the accused Abdul Kareem may be forwarded. Draft Submitted. SC. SV 26.11.06. 27/11/06. 12 Wpl. Yours. Please note that if any vernacular papers are sent, they have to be translated into English. W. 28/11/06
Pol. to marked No 7, Secs 5/12.		Sirkar 13 Translation of the important papers is putup. These may be forwarded with the other English papers. SV 3/12/06. 14 W. W. 3/12/06
	4	To the F.A. Resident. Pol 448 of 3.12.06. forwards the original papers relating to the extradition of Abdul Kareem.
	5	From the F.A. Resident no 238 of 18/1/07. forwards for necessary action copy of letter no 43-J of 8/1/07 received from the First Assistant Resident

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

Serial No.

Hydearabad, calling for the descriptive roll of the Convict Abdul Karim.

15 Submitted with a draft docket to the District Magistrate Mysore, calling for the descriptive roll.

ms 19/1/07 16 Issued. 19/1/07

6 To the Dt Magistrate Mysore
No 2099 dy 19/1/07
Requests him to submit at a very early date a descriptive roll in detail of the said Convict.

7 From the Dt. Magistrate Mysore
No 521 dy 22/1/07
Stating that the descriptive roll referred to may be found in the file of Vernacular papers submitted with his letter no 271 dy 11th Oct 06 and that he has asked the Asst Supdt of Police Nanjanagud Circle to send a copy of the descriptive roll of the Convict by the return post.

8 (1) 17 The Vernacular papers referred to were sent to the G.A. Resident with this Office Pol no 448 dy 3.12.06. May the receipt of the copy of the descriptive roll be awaited

18 Submitted for orders. The Supdt of Police Nanjanagud Circle will be asked, if approved.

ms 25/1/07 25/1/07 19 Issued. 25/1/07

8 (2) Telegram Submitted

ms 26/1/07 20 To the Cash section. Please issue the telegram herewith. 26/1/07

Note.—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 85/105 of 1906

Serial No.	
23	A telegram was sent to the Asst Supdt of Police for the despatch of the descriptive roll on 26th inst.
8	From the Assistant Superintendent of Police French Rocks no 633 dt 27/1/07 forwards a copy of his docket no 632 dt 26/1/07 with its enclosure to the Deputy Coms of Hydne.
24	The enclosure referred to is the descriptive roll of the convict Abdul Karim. Submitted with a draft to the D.A. Resident forwarding a copy of it. Init SW 29/1/07 25 June Init 29/1/07
2/2 (i)	
9	To the D. A. Resident no Pol 664 dt 30.1.07. forwards a copy of the descriptive roll of the said convict
10	From the D.A. Resident— no 3340 dt 26.4.07. States that though the offence for which the surrender of the accused demanded is not extraditable under Extradition Treaty of 1867 between the Hyderabad State and the Govt of India yet His Highness the Nizam's Govt are prepared to surrender provided the Hydne

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

Serial No.

Durbar are willing to grant reciprocity in similar cases and request to be informed of the views of Durbar.

Wired for orders. Attachments Treaties, referred to in the H.M. Resident's letter is not forthcoming in the records nor in the Legislative Library.

29/4/07 SW 29-4-07

27 The ~~proposals~~ understanding which H.H. the Nizam's Govt wants to give appears to be reasonable, but its scope will have to be strictly defined. We do not know much of what the laws in force in Hyderabad are, the procedure in the trial of criminals, the punishments awarded, the character of the judicial service &c. These are matters in which we may perhaps request the Residency to give us information. But subject to the working out of details, we may, I think, bring (1) All extraditable offences, under the Indian Extradition Act & (2) All offences against the Indian Post Office Act, Telegraph Act & Railways Act within the scope of the proposed arrangement. It will also be desirable to require that information as to the final disposal of the accused should be furnished.

(2) Not necessary I think

Will Thumb to do but we may do so in case (1) to offences carrying the punishment, a certain punishment, a certain number of years, say, six months or one year.

29/4/07

Note—Contn. sheet

Seen

C/S File No. 105 of 1906

Serial No.

2d I wish to see the particulars of Aitchison's leases referred to — & order a complete set please from the Publication Office; & in the meantime get the volume officially from the Registrar & the Records Office for reference

1/5/07

2 D.O. sent to Mr. Tirumalai Aiyangar — Ask Higginbotham to supply & submit the set

30/4

D.O. from Mr. T.S. Tirumalai Aiyangar

dy 1.5.07

Sends a copy of Vol III of Aitchison's Treaties

THE RESIDENT MYSORE

C/S 1/5

BANGALORE.

1-5-07

Pr III

1/5

Dear Mr. Nathan,

Herewith copy of Vol III of Aitchison's Treaties

Yours sincerely

T. T. Tirumalai Aiyangar

To K. Nathan Esq

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

65 File No. 165 of 1906

Serial No.

~~Draft to Mahomedan Deputation & Co for a Complete Act.~~

Submitted Secretary — Lee
2/5

31 No 2510 Rev 07

32 Please put up a draft stating that the Imperial Govt are prepared to agree to an arrangement by which persons charged with offences which are extraditable under the Law of British India & punishable with 2 years imprisonment for ~~or more~~ 1 year or above under the Laws in force in each territory relating to Railways, Post Offices & Telegraphs, are to be surrendered by one Govt at the requisition of the other.

Please look up the penal clauses & see if this limit is suitable

Lee 4/5

NOTE—Contn sheet

C/5 GPB—10,000—18-1-07

NOTE—Contn sheet.

Serial No.

33 Railways, Post office and Telegraph Acts.

34 Mysore is governed so far as the 1st is concerned by Regulation IV of 1894 and as regards the other two by the British Indian Acts. As regards Hyderabad, however, there is a special local Post office law which is Railways and Telegraphs are administered under British Indian Acts. The statement given hereunder shows the corresponding Penal clauses under these acts in the two states referred to above.

Mysore Code Vol II pp 225, 476, 575 and Rules framed thereunder Vols V and VI (Pp 11-20 and 410) (Pp 683) 9 & 2 pp
Aitcheson's Treaties, Engagements &c. Pp 364, 367, 390, 402, 428) not available in Secretariat pp
4 Vols and Collection of laws in force in Hyderabad Subd (R pp

1 Railways

Serial No.	Section and brief subject of the offence	A. Offences by Ry. Servants. Punishment a) Mysore; b) Hyderabad
1.	Sec 81 of the Mysore Code and 99 of the British — Breach of duty by a Railway Servant as regards exhibition to the public of authority for quoted rates	1. Fine to the Extent of 20 Rupees in both the States.
2.	Drunkenness of a Railway Servant. Secs 82 and 100 respectively.	2. Fifty Rupees; but if it is likely to endanger the safety of a traveller — imprisonment for 1 year or fine or with both (both the States)
3	Endangering the safety of persons by a Railway Servant — Secs 83 and 101 respectively	3. 2 years or a fine of 500 Rs or with both (both the States)
4.	Over crowding of passengers above the limit prescribed — Secs 84 and 102 respectively	4. Fine to the Extent of twenty Rupees (both the States.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—10,000—18-1-07

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 105 of 1906/7.

Serial No.	Section and Subject of the offence.	Punishment in (a) Mysore and (b) Hyderabad.
5.	Omission of a Railway Servant to give notice of accident - Secs 85 and 103 respectively	5. Fine to the extent of Rs 50 in both the States
6.	Obstruction of level crossings by a Railway Servant - Secs 86 and 104 respectively.	6. Fine to the extent of Rs 20 (both the States)
7.	False returns prepared by Railway Servants - Secs 87 and 105 respectively	7. Fine to the extent of 500 Rs or imprisonment of one year or with both (in both the States)
	B. Other Offences.	
8	Rendering false accounts in respect of goods. Secs 88 and 106 respectively	8. Fine to the extent of 100 Rs for every manund or part of a manund (in both the States)
9	unnecessary interference with means of Communication by train. Secs 90 and 108 respectively.	9. Fine to the extent of Rs 50. (in both the States)
10.	Carriage of dangerous or offensive goods - Secs 89 and 107 respectively	10. Fine to the extent of Rs 500 (in both the States)

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—40,000—12 5-06

G.R.S. 121

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 105 of 1906/7

Serial No.	Section and Subject of the Offence	Punishment in (a) His Exc and (b) Hyderabad
11	Unlawfully assisting entry into a compartment not full or Entering into a compartment already full or reserved — Sec 94 and 109 respectively	11. Fine to the Extent of ~~Rs~~ 20 (in both the States)
12.	Smoking without the consent of fellow passengers - Sec 92 and 110 respectively.	12. - Do -
13.	Defacing of Public notices - Sec 93 and 111 respectively	13. Fine to the Extent of ~~Rs~~ 50 (in both the States)
14	Fraudulently travelling without proper pass or Ticket - Secs 94 and 112 respectively	14. Fine to the Extent of 100 ~~Rs~~ (in both the States).
15.	Travelling without a Ticket or insufficient Ticket or beyond the authorized distance. - Secs 95 and 113 respectively	14 The additional charge to be levied under this Section has to be recovered as a fine if the party liable to pay the charge fails to do so on demand. (in both the States)

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—40,000—12-5-06

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

12.

Ch File No. 105 of 1906

Serial No.	Section and subject of the offence	Punishment in Mysore and Hyderabad
16	Transfer of half of Return Ticket - Secs 96 and 116 respectively	16. (a) In Mysore only person hereby punished with a fine to the extent of Rs 50 (b) In Hyderabad, any half punishable to the same extent
17	Defacing pass or Ticket - Secs 98 and 116 respectively.	17. Fine to the extent of 50 Rs in both the States
18.	Travelling by a person suffering from infectious or contagious disorder - Sec 99 and 117 respectively.	18. Fine to the extent of Rs 20. in both the States and Rs 100 if a Railway Servant wilfully permits it.
19.	Improperly travelling. Secs 100 and 118 respectively	19. Fine to the extent of Rs 20 and to the extent of Rs 50 if the person persists in doing so after being warned
20.	Entering any place reserved for females - Secs 101 & 119 respectively	20. Fine to the extent of 100 Rs in both the States
21	Drunkenness or nuisance on a Railway. Secs 102 & 120 respectively.	21. Fine to the extent of Rs 50 in both the States
22	Obstructing Rly. Servants in duty - Secs 103 & 121 respectively.	22. Fine to the extent of 100 Rs in both the States
23.	Trespass and refusal to desist from trespass Secs 104 & 122 respectively.	23. Fine to the extent of Rs 20 and Rs 50 respectively in both the States.
24.	Disobedience of Train Driver's &c to directions of Rly servants while on the premises of the Railway - Secs 105 and 123 respectively	24. Fine to the extent of Rs 20 Rs

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—40,000—12-5-06

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

(b) File No. 105 of 1906.

Serial No.	Section and Subject of Offence	Punishment (a) Mysore and (b) Hyderabad
25	Opening or shutting gate improperly - Secs 106 and 124 respectively	25. Fine to the Extent of Rs 50 in both the States.
26.	Cattle-trespass - Secs 107 and 125 respectively	26. Fine to the Extent of Rs 50 if cattle are allowed to stray and Rs 10 if wilfully driven in both the States.
27	Wrecking or attempting to wreck a train - Secs 108 and 126 respectively	27. Transportation for life or imprisonment to a period of 10 years — in both the States.
28.	Hurting or attempting to hurt persons travelling by Railway - Secs 109 and 127 respectively.	Do -
29	Endangering safety of persons by wilful act or omission - Secs 110 and 128 respectively	29. Imprisonment to the Extent of 2 years in both the States
30	Do — by rash or negligent act. Secs 111 & 129 respy	30. Imprisonment to the Extent of 1 year with or fine or with both — (in both the States)
31	Offences by Minors - Secs 112 and 130 respectively -	31. Guardian liable to a fine to the Extent of Rs 50 if he fails to execute a bond binding himself, his property to prevent the minor from being guilty again - in both the States.

35 Thus it is to be seen that the offences and punishments for them are identical in almost all the cases in both these States. While a considerable number of offences shown above are

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—40,000—12 5-06

Serial No.

punishable only with fine, those which are punishable with imprisonment are comparatively few. Nos. 2, 3, 7, 27, 28, 29 and 30 are of latter description, least of them being punishable with one year. But it is not known if the imprisonment is to be simple or rigorous. It may probably be either kind. Among those known which are punishable only with fine, the following seem to deserve notice. Nos. 5, 6, 10, 18, 22 and 25 which may be productive of serious consequences.

II Post office.

2b While Mysore is in this respect governed by the Indian Post office Act VI of 1898 (Vide Reg I of 1899), Hyderabad has its own local Law - Viz the Post office Act: No VI of 1309.

Serial No.	Offence and section	Punishments.
1.	misconduct of person employed to deliver or carry postal articles - Sec 49 of the Ind. Post Act and Sec 27 of the Hyderabad Act.	1. fine to the extent of 50 Rs under both the laws.
2.	withdrawal from duty of persons employed to carry or deliver the articles without notice Secs 50 and 28 respectively	2. Imprisonment to the extent of 1 month or with fine to the extent of Rs 50 or with both under both the laws.
3.	false entry by Postal Managers in respect their Registers - Secs 51 and 29 respectively	3. Imprisonment to the extent of 6 months or with fine to the extent of 100 Rs or both (under both the laws)

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—40,000—12-5-06

c/ File No. 105 of 1906

Serial No.	Offence and Section	Punishment
4.	Theft, dishonest misappropriation or destruction or ~~waste~~ secretion committed in respect of Postal Articles. - Secs 52 & 30 respectively	4. Imprisonment to the Extent of 7 years and also a fine (under both the laws)
5.	Opening, detaining or delaying Postal articles - Secs 53 & 31 respectively	5. Imprisonment to the Extent of 2 years or with fine or with both (under both the laws)
6	Fraud in connection with official marks and receipt of Excess Postage - Secs 54 and 32 respectively	6. Imprisonment to the same Extent as above and also a fine (under both the laws).
7	Fraudulent preparation of Post office ~~and~~ other documents - Secs 55 & 33 respectively	7. Do -
8	Fraudulent sending of unpaid Postal articles. Secs 56 and 34.	8. - Do

B. Other Offences.

9.	Violation of the Monopoly enjoyed by Govt of India and of Nizam within the Postal limits established by them - Secs 58 and 35 respectively	9. Fine to the Extent of 50 Rs for every letter. For every Subsequent offence, fine to the Extent of 100 Rs in Hyderabad and 500 Rs under Ind. Post. Office act.
10.	Carriage of letters by persons mentioned in Sec 5 of Ind Post. Act - Secs 59 and 35 respectively	10. Same as above.
11.	Violation of rules made for the guidance of Stamp vendors Secs 60 and 36 respectively	11. 6 months or fine to the Extent of Rs 200 or both if a price higher than what is prescribed is taken. In other cases, fine to the Extent of Rs 200.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—40,000—12 5-06

Serial No.	Offence and Section	Punishments
12.	Transmission of noxious &c or indecent &c articles Secs 61 and 37 respectively	12. Imprisonment to the extent of one year or fine or with both under both the laws.
13.	Defiling &c injuring Post office Letter boxes. Secs 62 and 38 respectively.	13. - Do -
14.	Disfiguring Post office Secs 63 and 39 respectively	14. Fine to the extent of Rs 50 under both the Laws.
15.	False declaration in respect of any postal article - Secs 64 and 40 respectively.	15. Fine to the extent of 200 Rs but if it is thereby intended to defraud Govt to the extent of 500 Rs (under both the Laws)
16	Failure to transmit postal bags by masters of Ships in accordance with rules prescribed thereof Section 65 of the Ind. Post Act.	16. Fine to the extent of 1000 Rs under British India Law.
Not applicable hence in this Law	[No corresponding offence in Hyderabad]	
17.	Detention of Postal articles by masters of Ships - Sec 66 of the Ind. Post. act.	Fine to the extent of Rs 50 for every article detained and 100 Rs if continued to be detained after demand
	[No corresponding offence in Hyderabad]	

GPB—40,000—12 5-06

Ch File No. 105 of 1906.

Serial No.	Offence and Section	Punishment
18	Detaining mails or opening mail bags - Secs 67 and 41 respectively	18. Imprisonment to the extent of 3 months or fine to the extent of Rs 200 or both in Hyderabad fine to the extent of Rs 200 under the British India Law.
19.	Improper detention of postal articles - Sec 68 and 42 respectively	19. Imprisonment to the extent of 2 years and or fine ~~or both~~ under both the Laws.
20	Unlawfully diverting letters - Sec 69 of Ind. Post Act.	20. Imprisonment to the extent of 6 months or fine to the extent of 500 Rs or both

[No corresponding section in the Hyderabad Code]

21	Abetment of above offences - Secs 70 and 43 respectively.	Same as for the offence under both the laws.

The description of imprisonment to be awarded in these cases is not stated. It may probably be either simple or rigorous. Nos 2, 16 and 17 and 20 probably deserve special notice.

III Telegraph.

37 Both Mysore and Hyderabad are governed by British India Laws.

P.T.O.

GPB—40,000—12-5-06

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 105 of 1906.

Serial No.	Section and offence.	Punishment.
1	Working unlicensed Telegraph or breaking condition of license. - Sec 20	1. Fine to the extent of 1000 Rs and a further fine of Rs 500 for every week during which the offence continues
2	Using a Telegraph maintained in contravention of the Act - Sec 21	2. Fine to the extent of Rs 50.
3	Opposing Establishment of Telegraphs on Railway land - Sec 22.	3. Fine to the extent of 1000 Rs for every day during which the offence continues
4.	Intrusion into Signal room &c - Sec 23	4. Fine to the extent of Rs 500.
5	unlawful attempt to learn the contents of Messages - Sec 24	5. Imprisonment to the extent of one year
6	Tampering with Telegraphs - Sec 25	6. Imprisonment to the extent of 3 years or fine or with both
7.	unlawful intercepting or divulging Messages ~~- Sec 26~~ by any Telegraph Officer or other connected with Telegraph Office - Sec 26.	7. - Do -

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—40,000—12 5-06

Serial No.	Offence and Section	Punishment
8	Fraudulent transmission of message by a Telegraph Officer - Sec 27	8. Imprisonment for three years or fine or both.
9.	Misconduct like drunkenness on the part of Telegraph officers or others connected with Telegraph offices - Sec 28	9. Imprisonment to the extent of three months or fine or both.
10	Transmission of false message - Sec 29	10. Imprisonment to the extent of three years or fine or both.
11	Detention of a message delivered by mistake - Sec 30.	11. Imprisonment to the extent of 2 years or fine or both
12.	Attempts to commit the above Offences - Sec 32.	12. Punishment Same as for offences themselves

39 Imprisonment may perhaps be of other description. As most of the graver offences under Railways, Post office and Telegraph noted above are punishable by imprisonment for one year or upwards in both the States alike, draft letter to the G.A. Residency as per Secretary's note of 4/5/07 submitted.

MS 7/5/07 S.N. 7.8.5.07

GPB—40,000—12 5-06

Serial No.

39 Certain offences which are not very serious are punishable with imprisonment for 1 year. Vide Nos. 2, 7 + 30 under "Railways" Nos 12 + 13 under "Post Office" & No 5 under "Telegraphs".

All really serious offences are punishable with imprisonment for 2 years or upwards. I would therefore suggest that the limit one year be raised to 2 years—

40 This is suitable— (Draft submitted) [illegible]
& 8/5/07

41 P.S. under the Govt. Clauses Act & the Indian Govt. Clauses Reg., the "imprisonment" under these sections may be either simple or rigorous— [illegible]
8/5

Act X of 1897
Vol VI
Reg III of 1897

42 The draft put up may be approved. (As this involves some "change of system," and legislation may perhaps be eventually necessary to give effect to the arrangement, the matter seems to come under the Scheme of Council cases)
10-(V.

2833
13.5.07.

[illegible]
11/5/07

43 I think that the proposal of the Hyderabad

[illegible]
11/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—40,000—12.5.06

Serial No.

Hyderabad Durbar. May be accepted in its entirety and that a brief reply may be sent such as is indicated by pencil alterations in the Draft below

(52) If the Nizam Durbar is to have a separate Extradition Treaty or Arrangement with the Hyderabad Durbar it is desirable to have it on up to date lines based on modern rational principles, such as those which govern or regulate similar arrangements between Countries like Great Britain & the United States. No civilized State can be doing any good by harbouring an Offender against the laws of another civilized State Whatever that Offender and Whatever that case may be Complete reciprocity in all cases is the ideal arrangement.

Ch 16 May 07

6b Circulate 16/5/07

16/5

4 A word of thanks is due to H H the Nizam's Government for their readiness & Courtesy

GPB—40,000—12 5-06

Serial No.

in complying with the request made by the Mysore Durbar for the surrender of Abdul Karim who is convicted of an offence not extraditable under the Treaty between Hyderabad & Govt of India and I think we may agree to reciprocity of action in the case of similar applications that may be made by H.H. the Nizam's Govt.

L.8 It is a matter of common knowledge that well known criminals & particularly dacoits, after committing crimes in our State, seek refuge in Hyderabad Territory. An arrangt such as the one suggested is eminently calculated to bring the offender to justice.

W. Rutherfurd
20/5/07

D. Donnelly

GPB—40,000—12 5-06

G.R.S. 12j

General and Revenue Secretariat.

6h File No. 105 of 1906

Serial No.

Dhaka

Dewan's ade

Please bring before Council meeting on Friday next

B.O. 16/7/07

Seen HMM 17/7/07

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

51

Lb 105-06

26

Extract from the Proceedings of the 174th Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the 19th July 1907.

II. Subject. - (Clause — of the Schedule).

Reciprocity between the Mysore Durbar and H.H. the Nizam's Government in the matter of surrendering accused persons.

O P I N I O N.

The Council is of opinion that the Durbar may agree to an arrangment with H.H. the Nizam's Government, by which persons charged with offences which are extraditable under the law in force in British India or punishable with imprisonment of either description for two years or more under the laws in force in either State relating to Railways, Post Offices and Telegraphs are to be surrendered by one Government at the requisition of the other.

Sd. V.P.Madhava Rao,
Dewan of Mysore.

"Extract"

C S Balasundaramayya

Offg: Secretary to Government.

59

65 05-06

52 Submitted to His Highness.

53 The Government of His Highness the Nizam was requested, through the Residency, to arrest and surrender one Abdul Karim, who has been convicted and sentenced by the Chief Court, under Section 108 of the Mysore Railway Regulation, to 3 years' rigorous imprisonment, for attempting to wreck a train, and who is reported to have absconded to Hyderabad. The Nizam's Government point out that the offence of which the said Abdul Karim has been convicted is not extraditable under the treaty between Hyderabad and the Government of India, but state that they are prepared to surrender the accused, if His Highness the Maharaja's Government are willing to grant reciprocity in the case of similar applications that may be made to them hereafter.

54 The question was considered in Council, and the annexed extract from the proceedings contains the views of the Council. The arrangement proposed to be entered into is that each Government should surrender to the other all persons charged with offences which are extraditable under the law in force in British India, or with serious offences under the laws relating to Post offices, Telegraphs and Railways in force in each State.

55 This may be sanctioned.

6b 105-06

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

30-7-07
81

Reciprocity between the Mysore Durbar and His Highness the Nizam's Government in the matter of surrendering accused persons.

56 *Order.*

Approved

~~KRW~~

31/7/07

99

YB
1-8-07

57 1/8/07 Put up draft

CRB
2/8/07

KWL
5.8.07

JG 6/8/07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

6p File No. 105 of 1906

Serial No.	
5	Subd with a draft letter already put up which may now be issued Secy) 7.8.07 JAWS mp SW 7/8/07 7/8/07 60 before CSB 15/8 JAWS 15.8. Fno 9/8/07
11	To the F. A. to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore Pol no 158 of 16.8.07 States that the Durbar agree to an arrangement by which persons charged with offences which are extraditable under the Law in force in British India or punishable with imprisonment of either description for two years or above under the laws in force in either State relating to Railways Post Offices and Telegraphs are to be surrendered by Post on the requisition of the other and request that if this meets be acceptable, the surrender of the convicts Abdul Karim may be kindly expedited
12	From the First Assistant Resident no 6292 of 8.10.07 Requests that information as to the exact locality where the convict is said to be in hiding may be furnished. Hay 15/ 61 Reference is invited to letter no 544

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—25-2-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ab File No. 1880 of 1906

Serial No.

dated the 30th June 1906 from the Assistant Supdt of Police French Rocks to the Sessions Judge of Mysore in which this information is given these papers had been already once forwarded to the H.A. Resdt with this office letter no Pol 448 of 3.12.06. The information contained therein may be communicated to the H.A. Resident.

62 draft Sub'd

MWS 12.10.07 SN 12.10.07

63 issue

13.10.07. KWS

13. To the H.A. Resident in Mysore No. pol. 329 dated 22-10-1907 forwards certain papers giving the place of residence & other particulars regarding the convict Abdul Karim.

14. From the H.A. Resident No. 7444 & 9th December 1907 States that it has been ascertained that orders have been issued by the Govt of H.H. the Nizam to the Chief City Magistrate of Hyderabad for the surrender of convict Abdul Karim to the Mysore Durbar.

64 This may be communicated to the District Magistrate of Mysore.

Draft submitted. 65 Issue

10:12:07 KWS

SN 10/12/07 10/12/07

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—25-2-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Cb File No. 105 of 1906.

Serial No. 15

To the Dc magte Hre J. 1826 dt 16-12-07.
Submitted Intimates whein the contents of Sl No 14
66 No further communication has been
received from the Residency, regarding
the Surrender of Abdul Karim. The records
forwarded by the District Magistrate
of Mysore may now be returned to him.
Submitted with a draft docket.

27/2/08 SW 27/2/08 67 Issue
28.2.08 WWS

16. To the Dc magte Mysore, Hre J. 2637 dt 3-3-08
In continuation of Sl No 15, returns
the original records received with
his letter Hre 271 dt 11-10-06.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

Ex 1906-06, 911

911

1906.

From

The District Magistrate of Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Genl. & Rev. Department
Bangalore.

Dated

Despatched 11th October 1906

Received

General No. 278

No.

Enclosure No. 1 file
1 Statement.

Submits an application for a warrant under the foreign jurisdiction and Extradition Act XV of 1903 for the arrest of Abdul Karem convicted by the Chief Court in appeal No: 55 of 1903-04

No: 271

Deputy Commr's office,
Mysore Districk
Dated Mysore 9th October 1906.

To

M. S. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esq,
B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts:
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith an application for a warrant under the foreign Jurisdiction and Extradition Act XV of 1903 for the arrest of one Abdul Kareem who was convicted and sentenced by the Chief Court of Mysore in criminal appeal case No: 55 of 1903-04, under Section 108 (clause b) of the Mysore Railway Regulation, to rigorous imprisonment for three years and to state that the Assistant Superintendent of Police of French Rocks Circle states in his report No: 544 dated 26/30-6-06 that the warrant issued by the Sessions Judge for the arrest of the said Abdul Kareem can not be executed as he is reported to have gone to Hyderabad. Thereupon the Sessions Judge made a reference to the Registrar of the Chief Court on the subject. The Registrar in reply to the reference made by the Sessions Judge, says in his docket No: 1085/74 dated 17/20-8-06, that the District Magistrate may

may be addressed to take needful action in the matter. Hence the application.

2. The correspondence ending with memo No. 675/59 dated 24-8-06 received from the Sessions Judge are herewith forwarded for your kind perusal and return when no longer required

3. I beg to suggest that a Police officer of the Female Police wing who can identify the person referred to may be sent to Hyderabad (Deccan) to point out the person to the Police of H. H. the Nizam's State Police.

I have the honour to be
Sir.
Your most obedient Servant

Mukund

District Magistrate
of Mysore.

Application for a warrant to remand

The District Magistrate of Hyderabad (Deccan).		Officer to whom the warrant shall be issued.
The District Magistrate of Mysore.	2	The magistrate of the District who wants the warrant issued.
Abdul Kareem. Age brown colour, Round face, Pitted with small Pox. 5 feet - 2 inches.	3.	Name and description of accused person.
He is said to be living with one Gous Khan employed as Toddy measurer in the Excise Department in the Nizam's State. "Tott Khana Galli" Hyderabad town (Deccan)	4	Where or under whose custody the accused person is, supposed to be.
Attempted to wreck a train, an offence punishable under clause (b) of Section 108 of the Mysore Railway Regulation.	5	Name of the offence committed and Section of the Indian Penal Code under which the accused is charged.

Mysore
10th October 1906

Warrant issued under Extradition Act XV of 1903.

3

6	Officer to whom the accused should be surrendered.
7	Place at which the accused should be delivered up.
8	Is the accused a British subject or a subject of Mysore or to what State does he belong?

The Superintendent of Police, Bangalore.

Bangalore

Subject of Mysore.

H. Irwin

Political Resident in
of Mysore

G.R.S. 26]

4

File No. 105-A

Serial No 2

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Pol No. 3978 16-11-06

General and Rev. Secretariat

( )

, *the* 190

To

The First Assistant to the Honble the Resident

Date of issue 16-11-06.

Signed by Mr. K.S. Chandrasekharaiyer.

I am directed to forward Copy of letter No. 271 dated the 9th October 1906 from the District Magistrate of Mysore, and to state that one Abdul Kareem who was convicted by the Chief Court of Mysore in Crl Appeal No 55 of 03-04 under section 108 clause (b) of the Mysore Railways Regulation and sentenced to 3 years rigorous imprisonment, absconded and is reported to have gone to Hyderabad, and that the

over.

warm
& with its enclosures in original, to be obliging returned.

attempting to wreck a train, an offence punishable

A/c
Ans
17-11-06

SUBJECT.

warrant issued by the Sessions Judge of the Mysore Dist. for his arrest. ~~is returned~~ Still remains unexecuted

I am to request that an Extradition warrant ~~for the~~ may be issued to the District Magistrate of Hyderabad (Deccan) for the surrender of the said ~~prisoner~~ accused to the Superintendent of Police Bangalore District at Bangalore.

The usual statement containing the necessary particulars for the preparation of the warrant is also enclosed.

In view of the very heinous nature of the crime of which the accused has been convicted by the Civil Court, I am to request that the Hon'ble the Resident may be pleased to move H.H. the Nizam's Govt. to arrest and surrender the accused to this State. If this is agreed to by ~~the Nizam's~~ H.H. the Nizam's Govt. a Police officer who can identify the accused will be deputed to help the Hyderabad Police to arrest the man.

I have &c

Secretary

List of enclosures.

Enc: one

Copied by [illegible] 15/11/06

Examined by SV 15/11/06

Pol 800

J 1248

CC 105-06 Vol 3. 5

No. 6703

FROM

F. P. RENNIE, ESQ., I. C. S.,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 22 November 1906.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Chandrasekhara Aiyar's letter Pol No. 397, dated the 16th November 1906, regarding the extradition of one Abdul Kareem from the Hyderabad State, and to request that the original papers therein said to have been sent, may be forwarded to this office at an early date.

With translation in English of Vernacular papers, if any.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for First Assistant Resident.

D.R.

G.R.S. 26]

9.1267 ab

6

File No. 105-06
Serial No 4

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Bl No. 4489 3.12.06

General and Rev. Secretariat
( )
, *the* 190

To
The 1st A. Resident.

Sir,

Date of issue 3.12.06.

Signed by Mr. K.S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar

As desired in your letter No 6703 dated the 22nd Nov'06 I am directed to forward herewith the original papers relating to the extradition of Abdul Kareem.

I have &c

1 7

for Secretary
28/11/06

Sue 2 files 3/12/06 28/11/06

Pro 28/11 26/11

over.

SUBJECT.

Compl 28/11/06 29/11

T/I
CP 19.1.07

7

J 1766

Letter No. 43-J, dated 8th January 1907, from the First Assistant Resident, Hyderabad, to the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

-----O-----

With reference to your letter No. 7180, dated the 18th December 1906, I am directed to inform you that the papers received therewith have been forwarded to His Highness the Nizam's Minister with the request that, if there is no objection orders may be issued for the surrender of the convict Abdul Karim, son of ~~Hamad~~ Mahama Ghans to the Mysore Durbar.

2. In this connection I am to request that you will be so good as to forward the descriptive roll of the convict at your early convenience for transmission to His Highness' Government.

---------oOo---------

Urgent

No. 238 5 CP 105-06

The Mysore Resident's Office,
Bangalore, 18th January 1907.

Pol 1053

Copy of the foregoing letter is forwarded to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, for favour of necessary action, with reference to Mr. Chandrasekhara Iyer's letter No. 397, dated the 16th November 1906.

J P Rennie
First Assistant Resident.

MA

G.R.S. 26]

Diary No. of letter replied to J 1766

General and Rev. Secretariat
(Courts)
the 190

Date of issue 12/1/07

Signed by Asst Chamberlain

File No. 105/06
Serial No 6

No. J 2099

To

The District Magistrate
of Mysore

Adverting to his letter no 271 of the 11th October 1906, in re the surrender of the convict Abdul Karim, has the honor to request him to be so good as to submit at a very early date a descriptive roll in detail of the said convict

B.O

W 12/1/07
for Secretary

SW 19/1/07
Asst 19/1/07

over.

SUBJECT.

Asst SW 19/1/07

T/1823

1906/907

24/1

From H. D. Maples

The Deputy Commissioner of
the Mysore District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue
Departments.

Dated
Despatched 22nd Jan: 1907
Received

Enclosures No. Nil

General No. 521

States that the descriptive
Roll of Abdul Karim
has already been forwarded.
&c.

7

Eb 105-06

District Magistrate.

No. 271

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER.

Enclosures No. Nil

MYSORE DISTRICT.

Dated Mysore 22d January 1907

To K. S. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esq B A B L

~~The~~ Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Your docket No nil dated 19th instant requesting to forward the descriptive roll of Abdul Karim (convict) at ameyears date.

With reference to the above I have the honor to state that the descriptive Roll of the convict Abdul Karim may be found in the vernacular papers submitted to you with this office letter No 271 dated 11th October 1906 and that the Assistant Superintendent of Police Nilgiri Rocks has however this day been asked to send a copy of the descriptive Roll of the convict by the return post.

I have the honor to be,

Your most obedient servant

[illegible]

District Magistrate
Mysore.

10 J 1885

III 29.1.07

1906-07

8

Cp 105-06.

From C. Basavaraj Urs Esquire.
Assistant Superintendent of
police
French Rocks circle

To Chennavasekara Iyer Esquire
B.A.B.L.
Secretary
Revenue and General Department
Bangalore.

Dated 27th } January 1907.
Despatched }

Received

General No. 633
No.

Enclosure No. Two -

Sir,

With reference to your Telegram dated 26th January 1907 regarding the submission of the Descriptive Roll of Convict Aboul Kareem, I have the honor to enclose herein the copy of this office docket No. 632 dated 26th January 1907 addressed to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District together with its enclosure for favor of information

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant

A. C. Basavaraj
Assistant Superintendent of
police
French Rocks circle

1906-07 1.1

From

A. C. Basavaraj Urs Esquire

Assistant Superintendent of police

French Rocks circle.

To

M. Kantiraj Urs Esquire

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

Dated 26th January 1907.

No.

Sir,

With reference to your yadi No. 2788 dated 21st January 1907 regarding the submission of the Descriptive Roll of Abdul Kareem, I have the honor to submit herewith the Descriptive Roll as called for in the above.

I have the honor to be

Sir

your most obedient Servant

Signed A. C. Basavaraj Urs.

Assistant Superintendent of police

French Rocks circle

"Copy"

A. C. [illegible]

Assistant Supt of police

French Rocks circle

Descriptive Roll of escaped Convict Aboul Kareem.

Number	Name	Father's Name	Residence	Caste	Age	Height	Complexion	Remarks.
	Aboul Kareem	Mohamed Gaos.	Kankanbally	Muslimun	33 years.	5 feet 2 inches	Dark, round face, pitted with small-pox, wears mustaches cut. beard; Squaint eyes, last toes little raised. —	

French Rocks

Dated 26th January 1907

A. C. Dawson Major

& Assistant Superintendent of police

French Rocks circle

J 1898

VII 431-17 C.S. 31/40 1906-07.

From

The District Magistrate of Mysore —

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore —

Dated Genl & Rev Departments,

Despatched 30th Jan: 1907

Received

General No. 531

No.

Enclosure No. nil

Submits descriptive Roll of convict Abdul Karim.

No: 531
@ 1

Office of the ~~Deputy Commissioner~~ District Magistrate
Mysore District

Dated Mysore 30th January 1907

To.

K. S. Chendrasekara Aiyar Esquire B.A.B.L
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Revenue & General Departments

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No. 521 dated 21st Instant, I have the honor to forward herewith the descriptive roll of the convict Abdul Karim received from the Assistant Superintendent of Police French Rocks circle with his letter No. 632 dated 23rd January 1907.

I have the honor to be
Sir.
Your most obedient servant

Abdul Karim

for District Magistrate
of Mysore

Descriptive Roll of escaped Convict Abdul Kareem.

Number	Name	Father's Name	Residence	Caste	Age	Height	Complexion	Remarks.
14	Abdul Kareem	Mohamed Gous.	Kaukaulally	Muslimar	33 years	5 feet 2 inches	Dark, round face, pitted with small-pox, wears mustaches cut beard; — Squint eyes, last toes little raised.	

French Rocks
Dated 26th January 1907

A C Dawson Jr M
Assistant Superintendent of police
French Rocks circle.

14

15

G.R.S. 261 ab

to File No. 109-06

Serial No. 9

Diary No. of letter replied to J 1885

No. Pol Cle J 30.1.07

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Courts)
, *the* 190

To The ~~Jt~~ First Assistant
to the Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore

Date of issue 30/1/07

Signed by L. S. Chandrasekharaiya

Sir,

Adverting to your
~~Indorsement~~ endorsement No 238, dated the 18th
January 1907, in re the
surrender of the convict
Abdul Karim, I am directed
to forward herewith the
descriptive roll of the said
convict.

I have &c

for Secretary

over.

Sec one
SN
29/1/07

Subpd ML
29.1.07

Compd SN
29/1/07

MS SN
29/1/07

SUBJECT.

J 2706 16 Pol. 1638 & 26-4-07

10
Cr 105-06

No. 3340 En 1 file

FROM

F. P. RENNIE, ESQ., I. C. S.,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 26th April 1907.

SIR,

I am directed to invite a reference to the correspondence ending with Mr.Chandrasekhara Iyer's letter No.644, dated the 30th January 1907 regarding the surrender of the convict Abdul Karim, and to say that His Highness the Nizam's Government usually comply with requests for the surrender of accused persons received from other Durbars, provided they are in conformity with the provisions of the Extradition Treaty of 1867 between the Hyderabad State and the Government of India(published at page 369 of Volume VIII of Aitchison's Treaties,Engagements and Sannads relating to India and Neighbouring countries)

2. In the present case although the offence for which the surrender of Abdul Karim is requested by the Mysore Durbar is not extraditable under the Treaty, yet His Highness the Nizam's Government state that they are prepared to surrender the convict provided the Mysore Durbar are willing to grant reciprocity in the case of similar applications that may be made by them hereafter.

3. In communicating these views of His Highness

the Nizam's Government, I am to request that the Offg: Resident may be informed whether the Mysore Durbar are willing to agree to the proviso on which that Government are prepared to surrender the convict.

4. The original records of the case received with Mr. Chandrasekhara Iyer's letter No.448, dated the 3rd December 1906, are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J P Remnc

First Assistant Resident.

Cr 105-06 .11 ins 180

18

To

The First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

Pol: No: 15-8
D 16-8-07.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No.3340 dated the 26th April 1907, stating that His Highness the Nizam's Government are prepared to surrender to this State, Abdul Karim, convicted of an offence punishable under Section 108 of the Mysore Railways Regulation, provided that the Mysore Durbar are willing to grant reciprocity in the case of similar applications that may hereafter be made by the Nizam's Government.

2. In reply I am to state that the Durbar are prepared to agree to an arrangement by which persons charged with offences which are extraditable under the law in force in British India or punishable with imprisonment of either description for two years or above under the Laws in force in either State relating to Railways, Post Offices and Telegraphs are to be surrendered by one Government on the requisition of the other.

3. If this view be acceptable to H.H. the Nizam's Government, I am to request that the surrender of the convict Abdul Karim may be kindly expedited.

I have &c.,

Secretary.

18 a

G.R.B. 26]

Cl5

File No. 108-16

Serial No 11.

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

No.

General and Rev. Secretariat

( )

, the 190 .

To

The First Assistant to the
Hon'ble the Resident in
Mysore.

Date of issue _____

Signed by _____

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 3340 dated the 26th April 1907, stating that His Highness the Nizam's Government ~~of this State~~ are prepared to surrender Abdul Karim, convicted of an offence punishable under Sec 108 of the Mysore Railways Regulation, although ~~it is not Extraditable under the provisions of the Extradition Treaty of 1867 between these~~

over.

^ of this State,

SUBJECT.

~~Nizam's Govt~~

~~State and the Govt of India~~ 186 provided that
the Mysore Durbar are willing
to grant reciprocity in the
Case of Similar applications that
here after ~~may~~ may be made by the Nizam's Govt.
~~after~~.

2. In reply I am to state
that the Durbar are prepared &
agree to an arrangement by which persons charged with offence and the Kind proposed
which are extraditable under the
law of British India or punish-
able with ~~imprisonment~~ imprisonment of either description
for ~~one year~~ two years or above under the
Laws in force in ~~each~~ Extra State
relating to Railways, Post offices
& Telegraphs are to be surrendered
by one Government at the
requisition of the other

2. If this view be acceptable
to H.H. the Nizam's Government I am
to request that the surrender of the
Convict ~~of the~~ Abdul Karim may
be ~~expedited~~ hurried.

I have &c

SN 8/5/07

H. S.
Secretary

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPB—20,000-19-12—04.

19 J 775 Pol 441 D 8-10-07

No. 6292.

Gr 105-06-12

FROM

F. P. RENNIE, ESQ., I. C. S.,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 8th October 1907.

SIR,

With reference to Mr.Balasundaram Iyer's letter Pol No. 158, dated the 16th August 1907, regarding the surrender of Abdul Karim, I am directed to request that information as to the exact locality where the convict is said to be hiding may be furnished to this office for communication to the Hyderabad Durbar who have asked for the same.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J P Rennie
First Assistant Resident.

NNN

20

G.R.S. 26]

a

6h File No. 105-06
Serial No. 13

Diary No. of letter replied to 975 ~~6292~~

Pol. No. 329
Encls: 2.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(6h.)
, the 190 .

To
The First Assistant
to the Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore

Date of issue 22-10-07

Signed by
Messrs. Balasundaram

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no 6292 dated the 8th October 1907 regarding the surrender of Abdul Karim I am directed to enclose herewith the marginally noted papers* giving the place of residence of the said convict and certain other particulars relating to him, which may facilitate his apprehension.

I have the

over.

* Letter no 5544 of 30.6.06 from the Asst Supdt of Police Head Rocks to the Sessions Judge of Mysore Division (~~translated into English~~) and its Enclosure (translated into English)
1 Cover Roll No.

SUBJECT.

for Secretary

SP 11/10/07

21
Ch 105-06-14 J1513 Pol: 752
9-12-07 9-12-07

No. 7444.

FROM

F. P. RENNIE, ESQ., I. C. S.,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 9th December 1907.

SIR,

With reference to the correspondence ending with Mr. Balasundaram Iyer's letter No.329 dated the 22nd October 1907 regarding the extradition of one Abdul Karim, I am directed to say that it has been ascertained that orders have been issued by the Government of His Highness the Nizam to the Chief City Magistrate of Hyderabad for the surrender of the convict in question to the Mysore Durbar.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

F P Rennie
First Assistant Resident.

NKA

G.R.S. 26]

22

C-6

File No. 105/06

Serial No 15

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

No. J. 1826

General and Rev. Secretariat

( )

, *the* 190

To

The District Magistrate

of the Mysore District

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no. 521 dated the 22nd January (907), regarding the surrender of one Abdul Karim, I am directed to state that it has been ascertained that orders have been issued by the Government of His Highness the Nizam to the Chief City Magistrate of Hyderabad for the surrender of the said Abdul Karim to ~~our~~ his State.

I have etc

~~over.~~

Date of issue 16-12-(90)7

Signed by Mr K. Mylar Rao

SUBJECT.

For Secretary

SW 14/12/07

SW 13/12

Init

23

G.R.S. 26]

Ch. File No. 105/06
Serial No. 16

Diary No. of letter replied to ———

No. T. 2637
Enc. 1 file

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 190 .

To
The District Magistrate
Mysore District

Date of issue 3-3-08

Signed by Mr K. Aylar Rao

In continuation of this office no. T. 1826-Ch 105-06-15 dated the 16th December 1907, I am to return herewith the original records received with his letter no. 271 dated the 11th October 1906.

By order

for Secretary

Dft.
27/2

27/2/08

over.

SUBJECT.

27/2

2. From the J.A Raichur. No 6299/1
Enquires as to the exact locality where the murder
said to be in hiding

13 To the Same No Pol 329 Dt 22.10.07
forwards certain papers giving the ~~name~~ the
-mobin.

14 From the same No 7464 Dt 8.12.07
Intimating the issues of orders by the Hyderabad
Govt directing the surrender of the accused

15. To the H. Resdt. Mysore. No J 1876 Dt 16.12.07
Intimating the above

16. To the Same No J 2637 Dt 3.3.07
Returns, the original records received with
Letter No 271 Dt 11.10.07